

165(a) : Derivation of the Helmholtz Equation for ECE Theory

As Jackson, the Helmholtz equation is based on:

$$\nabla \times \underline{E} + \frac{\partial \underline{B}}{\partial t} = 0 \quad - (1)$$

$$\nabla \times \underline{H} - \frac{\partial \underline{D}}{\partial t} = \underline{J} \quad - (2)$$

Eq. (2) is a special case of:

$$\nabla \times \underline{H} - \frac{\partial \underline{D}}{\partial t} = \underline{J} \quad - (3)$$

Here \underline{E} is the electric field strength, \underline{B} is the magnetic flux density, \underline{H} is the magnetic field strength, \underline{D} is the displacement and \underline{J} is the current. Eq. (1) is the homogeneous equation in free space, eq. (2) the equation is a dielectric of permittivity ϵ and permeability μ .

To derive this from ECE theory consider the relation based on geometry:

$$T = D \wedge \underline{q} \quad - (4)$$

$$D \wedge T = R \wedge \underline{q} \quad - (5)$$

$$D \wedge \tilde{T} = \tilde{R} \wedge \underline{q} \quad - (6)$$

Eq. (5) is based on:

$$D_{\mu} V^{\rho} = \partial_{\mu} V^{\rho} + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\rho} V^{\lambda} \quad - (7)$$

and $[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}] V^{\rho} = R^{\rho}_{\kappa\mu\nu} V^{\kappa} - T^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu} D_{\kappa} V^{\rho} \quad - (8)$

where $T^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa} - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\kappa} \quad - (9)$

$$R^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\lambda} - \partial_{\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\lambda} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^{\sigma} - \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\sigma} \quad - (10)$$

together with:

$$\partial_{\mu} q^a_{\nu} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} q^a_{\lambda} - \omega_{\mu\nu}^a q^b_{\nu} \quad - (11)$$

$$= \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^a - \omega_{\mu\nu}^a \quad - (12)$$

Homogeneous Geometry

$$T = D \wedge q$$

$$D \wedge T = R \wedge q$$

$$D_\mu V^\rho = \partial_\mu V^\rho + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\rho V^\lambda$$

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] V^\rho = R_{\mu\nu}^\rho V^\rho - T_{\mu\nu}^\kappa D_\kappa V^\rho$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^\kappa = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\kappa$$

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda = \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma \Gamma_{\sigma\rho}^\lambda - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\sigma \Gamma_{\sigma\rho}^\lambda$$

$$\partial_\mu q_\nu^a = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^a - \omega_{\mu\nu}^a$$

$$= \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^a - \omega_{\mu\nu}^a$$

$$(D + R_0) q_\mu^a = 0$$

$$R_0 = q_a^\nu \partial^\mu (\omega_{\mu\nu}^a - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^a)$$

$$D_\mu T_{\nu\rho}^a + D_\rho T_{\mu\nu}^a + D_\nu T_{\rho\mu}^a = R_{\mu\nu\rho}^a + R_{\rho\mu\nu}^a + R_{\nu\rho\mu}^a$$

$$D_\mu \tilde{T}^{a\mu\nu} = \tilde{R}^a{}_{\mu}{}^{\nu}$$

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{T}^{a\mu\nu} = \tilde{R}^a{}_{\mu}{}^{\nu} - \omega_{\mu b}^a \tilde{T}^{b\mu\nu} = 0 \text{ (experimentally)}$$

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \text{ for each } a$$

$$\square A_\mu^a = 0$$

$$R_0 = 0$$

Inhomogeneous Geometry

$$\tilde{T} = (D \wedge q)_{HD}$$

$$D \wedge \tilde{T} = \tilde{R} \wedge q$$

$$D_\mu V^\rho = \partial_\mu V^\rho + \Lambda_{\mu\lambda}^\rho V^\lambda$$

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] V^\rho = \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^\rho V^\rho - \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^\kappa D_\kappa V^\rho$$

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^\kappa = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\kappa - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\nu\mu}^\kappa = \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\kappa - \Lambda_{\nu\mu}^\kappa$$

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda = \partial_\mu \Lambda_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \partial_\nu \Lambda_{\mu\rho}^\lambda + \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\sigma \Lambda_{\sigma\rho}^\lambda - \Lambda_{\nu\mu}^\sigma \Lambda_{\sigma\rho}^\lambda$$

$$\partial_\mu q_\nu^a = \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^a - \omega_{\mu\nu}^a$$

$$(D + R) q_\mu^a = 0$$

$$R = q_a^\nu \partial^\mu (\omega_{\mu\nu}^a - \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^a)$$

$$D_\mu \tilde{T}_{\nu\rho}^a + D_\rho \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^a + D_\nu \tilde{T}_{\rho\mu}^a = \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho}^a + \tilde{R}_{\rho\mu\nu}^a + \tilde{R}_{\nu\rho\mu}^a$$

$$D_\mu \tilde{T}^{a\mu\nu} = R^a{}_{\mu}{}^{\nu}$$

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{T}^{a\mu\nu} = R^a{}_{\mu}{}^{\nu} - \omega_{\mu b}^a \tilde{T}^{b\mu\nu} = j^{a\nu} \text{ (experimentally)}$$

$$\partial_\mu G^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 A^{(0)} j^\nu = \mu_0 j^\nu \text{ for each } a$$

$$\square A_\mu^a = \mu_0 j_\mu^a = -R A_\mu^a$$

$$R A_\mu^a = -\mu_0 j_\mu^a$$

As shown on the previous page, the homogeneous and inhomogeneous geometries are defined by various Hodge duals in the general space. The Hodge dual of the field tensor is:

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{2} \|g\|^{1/2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \quad (13)$$

where

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} = g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} \quad (14)$$

where $\epsilon_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}$ is the totally antisymmetric unit tensor in four dimensions and where $g^{\mu\nu}$ is the inverse metric. Here $\|g\|^{1/2}$ is the square root of the absolute value of the determinant of the metric. If:

$$g^{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

then:

$$\tilde{\tilde{F}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (16)$$

Here:

$$F^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -E_x/c & -E_y/c & -E_z/c \\ E_x/c & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ E_y/c & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ E_z/c & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{F}}^{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -B_x & -B_y & -B_z \\ B_x & 0 & E_z/c & -E_y/c \\ B_y & -E_z/c & 0 & E_x/c \\ B_z & E_y/c & -E_x/c & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

$$\vec{G}^{DP} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -D_x & -D_y & -D_z \\ D_x & 0 & -H_z & H_y \\ D_y & H_z & 0 & -H_x \\ D_z & -H_y & H_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad - (19)$$

$$\vec{G}^{m} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -H_x & -H_y & -H_z \\ H_x & 0 & D_z & -D_y \\ H_y & -D_z & 0 & D_x \\ H_z & D_y & -D_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad - (20)$$

So, for each a:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B} = 0$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{E} + \frac{\partial \underline{B}}{\partial t} = \underline{0}$$

$$A_\mu = \left(\frac{\phi}{c}, -\underline{A} \right)$$

$$\underline{D} = \epsilon_0 \underline{E} + \underline{P}$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{D} = \rho$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{H} + \frac{\partial \underline{D}}{\partial t} = \underline{J} \quad - (21)$$

$$\underline{j}_\mu = (c\rho, -\underline{J})$$

$$\underline{B} = \mu_0 (\underline{H} + \underline{m})$$

$$\underline{E} = \text{electric field strength (volt m}^{-1}\text{)}, \text{ volt} = \text{J C}^{-1}$$

$$\underline{D} = \text{displacement (C m}^{-2}\text{)}$$

$$\underline{B} = \text{magnetic flux density (tesla)}$$

$$\underline{H} = \text{magnetic field strength (A m}^{-1}\text{)}$$

$$\underline{J} = \text{current density (A m}^{-2}\text{)}$$

$$\underline{P} = \text{polarization, } \underline{m} = \text{magnetization,}$$

$$\epsilon_0 = \text{vacuum permittivity, } \mu_0 = \text{vacuum permeability}$$

$$\text{The Helmholtz equation is obtained with:}$$

$$\underline{j}_\mu = 0 \quad - (22)$$

5) and

$$\underline{D} = \epsilon \underline{E}, \quad \underline{B} = \mu \underline{H} \quad - (23)$$

so:

$$(\nabla^2 + \epsilon \mu \omega^2) \underline{E} = 0 \quad - (24)$$

$$(\nabla^2 + \epsilon \mu \omega^2) \underline{B} = 0 \quad - (25)$$

assuming solutions of type $\exp(-i\omega t)$. This gives

$$k = (\epsilon \mu)^{1/2} \omega \quad - (26)$$

and the phase velocity:

$$v = \frac{\omega}{k} = (\epsilon \mu)^{-1/2} = \frac{c}{n} \quad - (27)$$

It is seen that the phase velocity is:

$$R = 0 \quad - (28)$$

However, as in previous notes the group velocity is based on a finite R . The Helmholtz wave equation has zero momentum and finite energy.

The condition (28) means:

$$\boxed{\omega_{\mu\nu}^a = \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^a} \quad - (29)$$

in ERE theory. It does not mean a Minkowski spacetime because the electromagnetic field tensor is defined by spacetime torsion.

$$\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a = A^{(0)} \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^a \quad - (30)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = A^{(0)} T_{\mu\nu}^a \quad - (31)$$

where, for each a :

$$b) \quad \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{2} \|g\|^{1/2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{d\beta} T_{d\beta}^a \quad - (32)$$

where: $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{d\beta} = g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\kappa} \epsilon^{\rho\kappa d\beta} \quad - (33)$

Similarly:

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} \|g\|^{1/2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{d\beta} \Gamma_{d\beta}^{\kappa} \quad - (34)$$

Note carefully that $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^a$ is defined by $\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa}$, but $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ is defined by $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa}$. The connections are not antisymmetric. Λ is generated from Γ by use of the metrics, so have characteristics defined by the spacetime being used. These considerations give an ab initio geometrical basis for D and H. The metric is defined by:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{V}_{\mu}^a \tilde{V}_{\nu}^b \eta_{ab} \quad - (35)$$

where η_{ab} is the Minkowski metric $\text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. As shown in previous work it is possible to have:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = (1, -1, -1, -1) \quad - (36) \quad \text{tetrad metric}$$

not complex valued, phase dependent components, such as those of a plane wave.