

1)

109(3): New Theorem of Differential Geometry

Theorem

If:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu]V^\kappa = A^\kappa_{\sigma\mu\nu}V^\sigma - B^\lambda_{\mu\nu}D_\lambda V^\kappa \quad (1)$$

then:

$$D_\mu B^{\kappa\mu\nu} = A^\kappa_{\mu\nu} \quad (2)$$

Proof

It follows from eq. (1) that the structure of A and B must be:

$$A^\kappa_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\kappa_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\kappa_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{and } B^{\kappa\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\kappa_{\nu\mu} \quad (4)$$

This structure implies:

$$D_\mu B^{\kappa\nu\sigma} + D_\nu B^{\kappa\sigma\mu} + D_\sigma B^{\kappa\mu\nu} = A^\kappa_{\mu\nu\sigma} + A^\kappa_{\sigma\mu\nu} + A^\kappa_{\nu\sigma\mu} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{i.e. } D_\mu \tilde{B}^{\kappa\mu\nu} = \tilde{A}^\kappa_{\mu\nu} \quad (6)$$

Now form the Hodge duals:

$$2) \quad \tilde{A}^{\kappa \sigma} dP = \frac{1}{2} \|g\|^{1/2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} A^{\kappa}_{\sigma\mu\nu} - (7)$$

$$\tilde{B}^{\kappa\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \|g\|^{1/2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} B^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu} - (8)$$

in four dimensions.

The particular solution:

$$[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]_{HD} \nabla^{\kappa} = \tilde{A}^{\kappa}_{\sigma\mu\nu} \nabla^{\sigma} - \tilde{B}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} D_{\lambda} \nabla^{\kappa} - (9)$$

follows, where:

$$[D^{\mu}, D^{\nu}]_{HD} = \frac{1}{2} \|g\|^{1/2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} [D_{\alpha}, D_{\beta}] - (10)$$

For example:

$$\tilde{A}^{\kappa \sigma 01} = \|g\|^{1/2} A^{\kappa}_{\sigma 23} - (11)$$

$$\tilde{B}^{\kappa 01} = \|g\|^{1/2} B^{\kappa}_{23} - (12)$$

The tensors \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} therefore have the same structure as A and B , and we have the same conventions:

$$\tilde{A}^{\kappa \sigma 01} = \|g\|^{1/2} \left(\partial_2 \Gamma^{\kappa}_{30} - \partial_3 \Gamma^{\kappa}_{20} + \Gamma^{\kappa \lambda}_{2\lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{30} - \Gamma^{\kappa \lambda}_{3\lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{20} \right) - (13)$$

$$\tilde{B}^{\kappa 01} = \|g\|^{1/2} \left(\Gamma^{\kappa}_{23} - \Gamma^{\kappa}_{32} \right) - (14)$$

In eq (5) fix $\mu = 2, \nu = 3$:

3)

$$D_2 B_{30}^k + D_0 B_{23}^k + D_3 B_{02}^k = A_{230}^k + A_{023}^k + A_{302}^k \quad - (15)$$

Now multiply through by $\|g\|^{1/2}$ and:

$$\epsilon^{0123} = 1 \quad - (16)$$

to obtain:

$$D_0 \tilde{B}_{10}^k + D_0 \tilde{B}_{01}^k + D_0 \tilde{B}_{01}^k = \tilde{A}_{010}^k + \tilde{A}_{001}^k + \tilde{A}_{001}^k \quad - (17)$$

as a particular solution after lowering indices ..

Finally fix σ at 2 in eq. (17) to obtain:

$$D_0 \tilde{B}_{12}^k + D_2 \tilde{B}_{01}^k + D_0 \tilde{B}_{21}^k = \tilde{A}_{012}^k + \tilde{A}_{201}^k + \tilde{A}_{021}^k \quad - (18)$$

This is an example of:

$$D_\mu \tilde{B}_{\nu\sigma}^k + D_\sigma \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu}^k + D_\nu \tilde{B}_{\sigma\mu}^k = \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu\sigma}^k + \tilde{A}_{\sigma\mu\nu}^k + \tilde{A}_{\nu\sigma\mu}^k \quad - (19)$$

which is

$$D_\mu B^{k\mu\nu} = A_{\mu}^{k\mu\nu} \quad - (20)$$

Q.E.D.

The tensor A is the curvature tensor R and B is the torsion tensor T .

4) Therefore it has been proved from fundamental first principles that:

$$D_{\mu} T^{\kappa\mu\nu} = R^{\kappa\mu\nu}_{\mu} \quad - (21)$$

where:

$$T^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\nu\mu}, \quad - (22)$$

$$R^{\kappa}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\sigma}. \quad - (23)$$

Eq. (21) proves that the Christoffel symbol:

$$\Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\nu\mu} \quad - (24)$$

is self-inconsistent because for eq. (24), and for various exact solutions of the Einstein field equation, $R^{\kappa\mu\nu}_{\mu}$ is not zero but $T^{\kappa\mu\nu}$ is zero.

Conclusion

The Einstein field equation is in general self-inconsistent because of this result, so no physical inferences can be based on it. ECE theory is consistent with eq. (21)