

Note 389(6): Final Version of Spin Connection from Note 389(5)

(Co author) Horst Eckart evaluated the vector spin connection from Note 389(5) by solving the antisymmetry equations by computer to give:

$$\underline{\omega} = \underline{\omega}^{(1)} = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - i\underline{j}) e^{i\phi_0 - i\kappa \underline{k}} \quad - (1)$$

$$\underline{\omega}^* = \underline{\omega}^{(2)} = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} + i\underline{j}) e^{-i\phi_0 + i\kappa \underline{k}} \quad - (2)$$

Note that the longitudinal component is pure imaginary, so its real and physical part is zero. This is consistent with the fact that the conjugate product is:

$$\underline{\omega}^{(1)} \times \underline{\omega}^{(2)} = \left[\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - i\underline{j}) e^{i\phi_0 - i\kappa \underline{k}} \right] \times \left[\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} + i\underline{j}) e^{-i\phi_0 + i\kappa \underline{k}} \right] \quad - (3)$$

The longitudinal part of eq. (3) gives the $\underline{B}^{(3)}$ field:

$$\underline{B}^{(3)*} = \kappa A^{(0)} \underline{k} = B^{(0)} \underline{k} \quad - (4)$$

$$= -i \frac{A^{(0)}}{\kappa} \underline{\omega}^{(1)} \times \underline{\omega}^{(2)}$$

in which:

$$\underline{\omega}^{(1)} = \underline{\omega}^{(2)*} = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - i\underline{j}) e^{i\phi_0} \quad - (5)$$

$$\phi_0 := \omega t - \kappa z. \quad - (5a)$$

$$\underline{A} = \frac{A^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - i\underline{j}) e^{i\phi_0} \quad - (6)$$

$$\underline{A}^* = \frac{A^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} + i\underline{j}) e^{-i\phi_0} \quad - (7)$$

$$\underline{\omega} = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - i\underline{j}) e^{i\phi_0} - i\kappa \underline{k} \quad - (8)$$

$$\underline{\omega}^* = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} + i\underline{j}) e^{-i\phi_0} + i\kappa \underline{k} \quad - (9)$$

The Lagrangian constraint is:

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d}{dt} + \omega_0 \right) \phi^* = (\underline{\nabla} - \underline{\omega}) \cdot \underline{A}^* \quad - (10)$$

which is defined self consistently with:

$$\frac{\partial A_z^*}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_y^*}{\partial z} = \omega_y A_z^* + \omega_z A_y^* \quad - (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_x^*}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial A_z^*}{\partial x} = \omega_z A_x^* + \omega_x A_z^* \quad - (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_y^*}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_x^*}{\partial y} = \omega_x A_y^* + \omega_y A_x^* \quad - (13)$$

From eqs. (7) and (8), eq. (10) is:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt} + \omega_0 \right) \phi^* &= -c^2 \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{A}^* \\ &= -c^2 \kappa A^{(0)} \quad - (14) \\ &= -c^2 B^{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

3) Eq. (14) must be solved simultaneously with

$$\underline{E}^* = -\underline{\nabla} \phi^* + \underline{\omega} \phi^* = -\frac{\partial \underline{A}^*}{\partial t} - \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{A}^* \quad (15)$$

For plane waves, \underline{E}^* is known, so ϕ^* can be found from eq. (15), $\underline{\omega}$ being defined by eq. (1)

In order that:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B}^* = 0 \quad (16)$$

and

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{E}^* + \frac{\partial \underline{B}^*}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (17)$$

define:

$$\underline{E}^* = -\underline{\nabla} \phi^* - \frac{\partial \underline{A}^*(\text{total})}{\partial t} \quad (18)$$

$$\underline{B} = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^*(\text{total}) \quad (19)$$

where

$$\underline{A}^*(\text{total}) = \underline{A}^* + \underline{A}_1^* \quad (20)$$

and

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}_1^* = -\underline{\omega} \times \underline{A}^* \quad (21)$$

so

$$-\frac{\partial \underline{A}^*(\text{total})}{\partial t} = \underline{\omega} \phi^* \quad (22)$$

$$\text{and } \underline{A}^*(\text{total}) = - \int \underline{\omega} \phi^* dt + \underline{A}_2^* \quad (23)$$

where \underline{A}_2^* is a constant potential of integration.

Having calculated ϕ^* from:

$$\underline{E}^* = -\underline{\nabla} \phi^* + \underline{\omega} \phi^* - (24)$$

It is possible to calculate ω_0 from eq (14):

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} + \omega_0 \right) \phi^* = -c^2 B(t) = \text{constant} \quad (25)$$

Finally the equation:

$$-\underline{\nabla} \phi^* + \underline{\omega} \phi^* = -\frac{\partial \underline{A}^*}{\partial t} - \omega_0 \underline{A}^* \quad (26)$$

must be satisfied with the ϕ^* and ω_0 calculated from eqs. (24) and (25). This is achieved in general by using gauge freedom.

for $\underline{A}^*(\text{total})$:

$$\underline{A}^*(\text{total}) \rightarrow \underline{A}^*(\text{total}) + \underline{\nabla} \phi^* \quad (27)$$

This gauge transformation leaves \underline{B} unchanged in eq (19) because:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\nabla} \phi^* = \underline{0} \quad (28)$$

In general:

$$A^\mu \rightarrow A^\mu + \partial^\mu \phi \quad (29)$$

is the covariant gauge transform.

Knowing ϕ^* , $\underline{\omega}$, \underline{A}^* and ω_0 , the gauge function ϕ is adjusted so that the entire equation (26) is obeyed.