

351(3): Comparison of Continuity Equations

The conventional continuity equation of fluid dynamics is:

$$\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \underline{J}_m = 0 \quad - (1)$$

where ρ_m is the mass density and \underline{J}_m the current of mass density:

$$\underline{J}_m = \rho_m \underline{v} \quad - (2)$$

where $\underline{v} = \underline{v}(x, t)$ - (3)
is the velocity field. From eqs. (1) and (2):

$$\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t} + \rho_m \nabla \cdot \underline{v} + \underline{v} \cdot \nabla \rho_m = 0 \quad - (4)$$

Kambe transforms eq. (1) into:

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \underline{J}_F = 0 \quad - (5)$$

where $q = \nabla \cdot ((\underline{v} \cdot \nabla) \underline{v})$ - (6)

and $\underline{J}_F = \frac{\partial^2 \underline{v}}{\partial t^2} + \nabla \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + a_0^2 \nabla \times (\nabla \times \underline{v})$ - (7)

where h is the enthalpy per kilogram in units of joules kg^{-1} and a_0 is the assumed constant speed of sound. The continuity equation (5) is equivalent to:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \underline{v} \cdot \nabla h + a_0^2 \nabla \cdot \underline{v} = 0 \quad - (8)$$

The Kanse transformation is accomplished with the relations:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \frac{f}{a_0^2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \quad - (9)$$

and

$$\underline{\nabla} \phi = \frac{f}{a_0^2} \underline{\nabla} h \quad - (10)$$

so eq. (4) becomes:

$$\frac{f}{a_0^2} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \underline{v} \cdot \underline{\nabla} h + a_0^2 \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v} \right) = 0 \quad - (11)$$

which is eq. (8), QED.

The continuity equation of electrodynamics is

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{J} = 0 \quad - (12)$$

where ρ is the charge density and \underline{J} the current density. From Note 351(2):

$$\rho = \epsilon_0 \mu_m \gamma \quad - (13)$$

From eq. (5) it follows that in the first approximation:

$$\underline{J} = \epsilon_0 \mu_m \underline{J}_F \quad - (14)$$

using (14) in the current version of eq. (18) of note 1(2). More accurately:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\epsilon_0 \mu_m \gamma}{\rho} \right) + \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{J} = 0 \quad - (15)$$

3) therefore the electromagnetic charge density is:

$$\rho^2 = \epsilon_0 \mu_m \nabla \cdot = \epsilon_0 \mu_m \nabla \cdot ((\underline{v} \cdot \nabla) \underline{v}) - (16)$$

and charge becomes the property of a fluid field. the electromagnetic current density is defined by:

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{J} = - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - (17)$$

where ρ is defined by eq. (16). So \underline{J} also becomes the property of a fluid field.

Summary of Fluid Electrodynamics to Date

$$1) \frac{D\underline{W}}{Dt} = \frac{\partial \underline{W}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\rho_m} (\underline{W} \cdot \nabla) \underline{W} = \nabla \phi_w - (18)$$

which is the electromagnetic Euler equation.

2) the continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \underline{J} = 0 - (19)$$

where

$$\rho^2 = \epsilon_0 \mu_m \nabla \cdot ((\underline{v} \cdot \nabla) \underline{v}) - (20)$$

3) The vortex equation

$$\frac{\partial \underline{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times (\underline{B} \times \underline{v}) = \frac{1}{R} \nabla^2 \underline{B} - (21)$$

4) These are completely new equations of classical electrodynamics and merge it subject with fluid dynamics.

Eq. (21) can be developed with:

$$\underline{B} = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{W} \quad - (22)$$

where
$$\underline{W} = \frac{\rho_m}{\rho} \underline{v} \quad - (23)$$

The Euler equation is:

$$\frac{D\underline{v}}{Dt} = \frac{\partial \underline{v}}{\partial t} + (\underline{v} \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{v} = \underline{\nabla} h \quad - (24).$$

is which both side have the same units of $m s^{-2}$, or acceleration. The most accurate translation of eq. (24) into fluid electrodynamics is made with

$$\underline{v} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \underline{W} \quad - (25)$$

and
$$h = \frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \phi_W \quad - (26)$$

where
$$\underline{W}^\mu = \left(\frac{\phi_W}{c}, \underline{W} \right) \quad - (27)$$

So the most accurate form of eq. (4) is:

$$5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \underline{\underline{W}} \right) + \frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \left(\underline{\underline{W}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \right) \frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \underline{\underline{W}} = \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \phi_w \right) \quad (28)$$

Eq. (28) is roughly approximated by eq. (18) if ρ/ρ_m is approximately independent of space and time, or if ρ/ρ_m varies slowly in space and time. Then ρ/ρ_m can be regarded as a constant and

$$\frac{\partial \underline{\underline{W}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \left(\underline{\underline{W}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \right) \underline{\underline{W}} = \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \phi_w \quad (29)$$

Similarly, the vorticity equation is cast into

$$\underline{\underline{\omega}} = \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \times \underline{\underline{v}} \quad (30)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \underline{\underline{\omega}}}{\partial t} + \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \times (\underline{\underline{\omega}} \times \underline{\underline{v}}) = \frac{1}{R} \nabla^2 \underline{\underline{\omega}} \quad (31)$$

where R is the Reynolds number. The magnetic flux density is

$$\underline{\underline{B}} = \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \times \underline{\underline{W}} \quad (32)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \underline{\underline{W}} = \frac{\rho_m}{\rho} \underline{\underline{v}} \quad (33)$$

$$\text{so} \quad \underline{\underline{B}} = \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \times \left(\frac{\rho_m}{\rho} \underline{\underline{v}} \right) \quad (34)$$

and

$$\underline{W} = \underline{\nabla} \times \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \underline{W} \right) \quad (35)$$

If ρ/ρ_m is slowly varying over space and time:

$$\underline{W} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{W} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_m} \underline{B} \quad (36)$$

and ρ/ρ_m can be regarded as a constant. In this case eq. (31) becomes eq. (21) Q.E.D.

Note that the factor ρ/ρ_m of eq. (29) was accidentally omitted from eq. (34) of Note 35(2).

Finally:

$$\underline{W} = \underline{W}^{(0)} \underline{\omega} \quad (37)$$

where $\underline{\omega}$ is the spin connection so the equation is \underline{W} is also equation is the spin connection, and the equation of spacetime itself.