

316(1) : Removal of the Tangent Indices in ECE Theory.

Consider the ECE Hypothesis:

$$A^a_{\mu} = A^{(0)} \eta^a_{\mu} \quad - (1)$$

and
$$F^a_{\mu\nu} = A^{(0)} T^a_{\mu\nu} \quad - (2)$$

where η^a_{μ} is the Carter tetrad and $T^a_{\mu\nu}$ the Carter tensor. Here A^a_{μ} is the electromagnetic potential and $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ the electromagnetic field. The scaling factor $A^{(0)}$ is a scalar. So electromagnetism is based directly on Carter geometry. There are similar equations for the gravitational and weak and strong nuclear forces.

The index a may be removed using:

$$A_{\mu} = A^a_{\mu} e_a \quad - (3)$$

and
$$F_{\mu\nu} = F^a_{\mu\nu} e_a \quad - (4)$$

where e_a is the unit vector in the Carter tangent space. In the Cartesian basis:

$$e_a = (1, -1, -1, -1) \quad - (5)$$

and in the complex circular basis:

$$2) e_a = \left(1, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i), +\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i), -1 \right) \quad (6)$$

Eq's (3) and (4) follow from the fundamental definition of the Cartan tetrad:

$$V^a = e^a_\mu V^\mu \quad (7)$$

$$\text{and } T^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu e^a_\nu - \partial_\nu e^a_\mu + \omega^a_{\mu b} e^b_\nu - \omega^a_{\nu b} e^b_\mu \quad (8)$$

$$\text{So } F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + \omega^a_{\mu b} A^b_\nu - \omega^a_{\nu b} A^b_\mu \quad (9)$$

$$\text{Therefore } F_{\mu\nu} = F^a_{\mu\nu} e_a$$

$$= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + \omega_{\mu\nu} - \omega_{\nu\mu} \quad (10)$$

where we have used:

$$\partial_\mu (A^a_\nu e_a) = \partial_\mu A_\nu \quad (11)$$

$$\text{and } \omega^a_{\mu b} A^b_\nu e_a = \omega_{\mu\nu} \quad (12)$$

and so on. So the definition of the field tensor is simplified to eq. (10).

3) Similarly, define the electromagnetic field tensor by:

$$F^a{}_{b\mu\nu} := W^{(0)} R^a{}_{b\mu\nu} \quad (13)$$

where $R^a{}_{b\mu\nu}$ is the curvature two-form of Cartan geometry:

$$R^a{}_{b\mu\nu} = \eta^a{}_\kappa \eta^\lambda{}_b R^\kappa{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \quad (14)$$

where $R^\kappa{}_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor.

In eq. (13) $W^{(0)}$ is a scalar with the units of weber, (tesla m^2), or magnetic flux as in UFT 215.

It follows that:

$$\boxed{F_{\mu\nu} = e_a e^b F^a{}_{b\mu\nu}} \quad (15)$$

in analogy to eq. (10). A direct analogy is obtained by defining:

$$F^a{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \eta^a{}_\kappa F^\kappa{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \quad (16)$$

so

$$F_{\lambda\mu\nu} = e_a F^a{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \quad (17)$$

Now define

$$F_{b\mu\nu} = \eta^\lambda{}_b F_{\lambda\mu\nu} \quad (18)$$

so $F_{\mu\nu} = e^b F_{b\mu\nu} - (19)$

i.e. for eqs. (17) and (18):

$$F_{\mu\nu} = e^a e^b F^a_{b\mu\nu} - (20)$$

which is eq. (15) a.e.d.

The curvature two-form is defined by:

$$R^a_{b\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \omega^a_{\nu b} - \partial_\nu \omega^a_{\mu b} + \omega^a_{\mu c} \omega^c_{\nu b} - \omega^a_{\nu c} \omega^c_{\mu b} - (21)$$

so: - (22)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} &= e^b e_a R^a_{b\mu\nu} \\ &= \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu + \omega_{\mu c} \omega^c_\nu - \omega_{\nu c} \omega^c_\mu \\ &:= \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu + \omega^2_{\mu\nu} - \omega^2_{\nu\mu} \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_\nu = e^a e^b \omega^a_{\nu b} - (23)$

and $\omega^2_{\mu\nu} = \omega_{\mu c} \omega^c_\nu = e^a e^b \omega^a_{\mu c} \omega^c_{\nu b} - (24)$

Therefore:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu W_\nu - \partial_\nu W_\mu + W^{(a)2} (\omega^2_{\mu\nu} - \omega^2_{\nu\mu}) - (25)$$

5) where:

$$W_{\sim} = W^{(0)} \omega_{\sim} \quad - (26)$$

is the magnetic flux potential.

Therefore the curvature based ECE

hypothesis are:

$$W_{\sim} = W^{(0)} \omega_{\sim} \quad - (27)$$

and

$$F_{\mu\nu} = W^{(0)} R_{\mu\nu} \quad - (28)$$

Here $F_{\mu\nu}$ has units of Tesla, $W^{(0)}$ is units of Weber = m^2 Tesla, and $R_{\mu\nu}$ has units of inverse metres squared. The magnetic flux potential W_{\sim} has units of Weber per metre.

As shown in UFT 315, eq. (28) follows directly from the Jacobi-Cartan Evans (JCE) identity, the second Bianchi identity corrected for torsion.