

# 1) PROOF OF THE TETRAD POSTULATE

The tetrad postulate follows from the fact that a tensor is independent of the way it is written. The postulate follows from a consideration of the covariant derivative of a vector in two different bases. We denote these by 1 and 2. Thus:

$$(DX)_{\underline{1}} = (DX)_{\underline{2}} \quad - (1)$$

It follows that:

$$D_{\mu} v_{\nu}^a = 0 \quad - (2)$$

For those interested a detailed proof is given as follows, but eqn (1) is enough to know where the tetrad postulate comes from.

## Detailed Proof

In the coordinate basis (see Arnold (3.129))

$$\begin{aligned} DX &= (D_{\mu} X^{\tilde{\nu}}) dx^{\mu} \otimes d_{\sigma} \\ &= (\partial_{\mu} X^{\tilde{\nu}} + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\tilde{\nu}} X^{\lambda}) dx^{\mu} \otimes d_{\sigma} \quad - (3) \end{aligned}$$

In the mixed basis:

$$DX = (D_{\mu} X^a) dx^{\mu} \otimes \hat{e}_{(a)} \quad - (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\partial_{\mu} X^a + \omega_{\mu b}^a X^b) dx^{\mu} \otimes \hat{e}_{(a)} \\ &= (\partial_{\mu} (v_{\tilde{\nu}}^a X^{\tilde{\nu}}) + \omega_{\mu b}^a v_{\lambda}^b X^{\lambda}) dx^{\mu} \otimes (v_{\sigma}^a d_{\sigma}) \\ &= v_{\sigma}^a (v_{\tilde{\nu}}^a \partial_{\mu} X^{\tilde{\nu}} + X^{\tilde{\nu}} \partial_{\mu} v_{\tilde{\nu}}^a + \omega_{\mu b}^a v_{\lambda}^b X^{\lambda}) dx^{\mu} \otimes d_{\sigma} \quad - (5) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the commutator rule. Now switch  $\sigma$  to  $\tilde{\nu}$  and use

$$v_{\tilde{\nu}}^a v_{\sigma}^a = 1 \quad (6)$$

3) to obtain:

$$DX = \left( d_\mu X^{\tilde{a}} + q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} d_\mu q_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{c}} X^{\tilde{c}} + q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} q_{\tilde{c}}^{\tilde{d}} \omega_{\mu\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} X^{\tilde{d}} \right) dx^\mu \otimes \tilde{e}^{\tilde{a}} - (7)$$

Now compare eqns (3) and (7) to give:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\tilde{a}} = q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} d_\mu q_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{c}} + q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} q_{\tilde{c}}^{\tilde{d}} \omega_{\mu\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} - (8)$$

mult. by both sides of eqn (8) by  $q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{a}}$ :

$$q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{a}} \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\tilde{a}} = d_\mu q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{a}} + q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} \omega_{\mu\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} - (9)$$

i.e.

$$\boxed{D_\mu q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{a}} = d_\mu q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{a}} + \omega_{\mu\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} - \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\tilde{a}} q_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{a}} = 0} - (10)$$

Quod erat demonstrandum

Eqn (10) is known as the tetrad postulate, and is true for all connections.

Meaning of Tetrad Postulate

The tetrad postulate means that the basis chosen for  $DX$  does not affect the result. The tetrad postulate originates in the definition of the tetrad itself:

$$\tilde{e}^{\tilde{a}} = q_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{a}} \tilde{e}^{\tilde{b}} - (11)$$

where  $\tilde{a}$  refers to the tangent spacetime and  $\mu$  to the base manifold.